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Cover photo: Aqueous Film Forming Foam containing PFAS at use in a fire at a city of Madison electric substation in July, 2019. Photo credit: Wisconsin State Journal.
PFAS – Forever Chemicals in Wisconsin

Countries across the world are grappling with the long-term health and environmental impacts related to the manufacturing and use of the large family of chemicals known as PFAS, or Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances. PFAS are often called “forever chemicals” due to a molecular structure that gives them extraordinary persistence in the environment and the ability to resist decomposition.

PFAS as a group includes thousands of individual chemicals, many of which have been in production and use in a wide variety of products since at least the 1950s. PFAS are especially valued for their ability to resist grease, stains, oil, water, and heat and are found in many everyday products such as firefighting foam, carpeting, coated paper, chrome metal plating, nonstick cookware, dental floss, and a wide variety of food packaging. Due to their widespread use in so many consumer products, PFAS are now being detected in the environment and in humans, animals, and other organisms in every part of the world.

Exposure to high levels of PFAS has been linked to a myriad of human health issues including increased risk of some cancers, decreased vaccine response in children, changes in liver enzymes, and fertility and pregnancy complications in women.¹

In the last 15 years PFAS have been found in groundwater, surface water, drinking water wells, as well as in biosolids, soils, and aquatic sediments in Wisconsin. In the absence of a comprehensive federal approach to PFAS, Wisconsin, along with other states, is now grappling with how to regulate these chemical contaminants while working to better understand their health effects.

Like many other states, Wisconsin currently lacks enforceable standards for PFAS in surface water, groundwater, and drinking water.
The lack of state enforceable standards is compounded by the lack of federal standards – the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) currently has not established enforceable standards for any PFAS chemical. The EPA has established non-regulatory health advisory levels for drinking water of 70 parts per trillion (ppt) or two of the most tested PFAS chemicals, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS), combined.

One of the earliest PFAS chemicals to be studied, PFOS, was voluntarily phased out of use in 2002 in the United States. U.S. Manufacturers voluntarily eliminated PFOA emissions and their use in products by the end of 2015. Despite these actions numerous other PFAS known as “short chain PFAS” are still in use. Short chain PFAS contain fewer fluorine atoms than long chain PFAS and have been reported in manufacturers’ studies to be safer and less likely to bioaccumulate. Recent toxicity studies however show that second generation short-chain PFAS chemicals have comparable toxicity with longer chain chemicals, affecting the same organ systems, similar to the effects of the older longer chain PFAS.

In the absence of federally enforceable standards for PFAS, states are left to devise their own standards and response. The Wisconsin Department of Health Services (WDHS) has recommended a health-based standard of 20 ppt for PFOA and PFOS combined, in groundwater. As of December 2020, a Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) rulemaking process is underway to develop statewide standards for PFAS in drinking water, ground, and surface waters.

*Enforceable Standards are regulatory limits for contaminants that require corrective action when exceedances occur. Health advisory levels are non-regulatory thresholds that trigger public advisories.

This report provides a look into sources of exposure, health effects, and known releases for PFAS in Wisconsin, as well as state agency involvement. Recommendations in the report point to improved environmental management of PFAS grounded in the latest science, methods to reduce exposure and devise cleanup procedures for spills that occur.
PFAS have a unique chemical structure that contributes to their persistence. PFAS molecules contain a hydrophobic (or water repellent) fluorine-saturate carbon chain and a hydrophilic (attracted to water) functional group. This structure gives PFAS their desirable water and fat repellent quality that makes them perfect for nonstick and water repellent products, but it also contributes to their exceptional persistence in the environment.⁵

Detecting and managing PFAS is complex, in part due to the numerous points within the manufacturing and distribution systems and the waste streams where PFAS and products containing PFAS enter the environment. Human exposure may occur at any of these points.

Homes, work places, hospitals and even schools can also be sources of PFAS exposure because of commonly used items, which may include:⁶

- Stain-free carpeting, draperies, and furniture
- Grease and moisture-resistant paper products such as fast-food wrappers, dry goods packaging, and medical gowns and curtains⁷
- Non-stick pans and cookware
- Building products such as plumbing tape, house wraps and paints
- Outdoor rain-resistant fabrics and gear
- Personal care products like lotions, cosmetics, and dental floss
- Fabric softeners

Through their regular use, humans become exposed to PFAS that leach from cooking pans or by breathing PFAS-laden dust loosened from fabrics. As these items are discarded, they enter the environment, either through the solid waste stream, or directly to air or water. Whether recycled, landfilled, composted, or incinerated, PFAS remain in the air, water, and soil because of their persistent nature.

PFAS have been found in wastewater effluent and in industrial and municipal waste system biosolids (the solid fraction that remains after wastewater treatment). Biosolids from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are often land-spread on farm fields as a soil amendment or sent to solid waste facilities for management. PFAS can be reintroduced back into the environment when present in biosolids. Studies have also shown that plants have the ability to uptake PFAS from PFAS-laden soil.8

Another common entry point for PFAS to the environment is through the use of aqueous film-forming foams (AFFFs) used in municipal airport and airfield firefighting. Firefighting training exercises at military air bases have commonly led to releases of PFAS to surface and groundwater. Documented releases have occurred across the state at all three of Wisconsin’s Air National Guard bases.

**Health Effects**

PFAS chemicals bind to proteins, particularly in the liver and blood.9 Preliminary research suggests that high levels of PFAS may increase cholesterol levels, decrease how well the body reacts to vaccines, result in changes in liver enzymes, increase the risk of certain cancers, increase the risk of pregnancy complications such as pre-eclampsia and lower average birth weights in newborns.1, 10 Reducing human exposure to PFAS is difficult, however, due to
Due to their resistance to degradation in the environment, PFAS compounds have high potential for bioaccumulation and biomagnification and toxicity to organisms. Fish advisories are commonly issued following known releases of PFOS to the environment or in areas where PFAS has been a persistent problem. A recent WDNR study also found PFOS in the livers of deer near the Johnson Controls International (JCI)/Tyco site in Marinette.

The manufacture, incineration, and disposal of PFAS chemicals has inordinate impacts on vulnerable communities. Multiple studies have shown that low-income communities and communities of color are more likely to live near PFAS-contaminated areas and face exposure to bioaccumulating PFAS toxins found in fish due to a higher reliance on subsistence fishing activities.

Known Areas of Contamination in Wisconsin

Notable sites with high-profile releases include:

- MIRRO Plants in Manitowoc and Chilton: former manufacturing facilities
- JCI/TYCO site in Marinette: manufacture and testing of firefighting foam
- 2018 Husky Energy Refinery in Superior: use of firefighting foam to suppress an explosion and fire
- 2019 MG&E Substation Transformer in Madison: use of firefighting foam to suppress an explosion and fire
- Truax Field in Madison: use of firefighting foam in training exercises requiring fire suppression
- Volk Field at Camp Douglas and Fort McCoy: use of firefighting foam in training exercises requiring fire suppression
- General Mitchell Airport in Milwaukee: use of firefighting foam in training exercises requiring fire suppression
- Refuse Hideaway Landfill in Middleton and Junker Landfill in Hudson: leachate containing PFAS
The known PFAS releases in Wisconsin have resulted in impacts to public and private water supply wells at several sites including wells in the Peshtigo/Marinette area (JCI/TYCO), Rhinelander Municipal Well #7, La Crosse Municipal Wells 23 & 24. In August 2020, Madison Water Utility reported PFAS in all 22 of its active wells with total PFAS concentrations ranging from 2.5 to 47 ppt.\(^\text{15}\)

Due to concerns over the uptake and bioaccumulation of PFAS in deer livers from grazing on land or landspreading of biosolids, the WDHS and the WDNR have recommended that hunters do not eat liver harvested from deer within the PFAS advisory area around the JCI/TYCO site.\(^\text{13}\)

As of October 2020, there were 47 documented releases of PFAS into the environment in Wisconsin.
The lack of information relating to the historic use and release of PFAS is one of the biggest limitations in effectively managing this threat. Our understanding of the extent and distribution of PFAS contamination in Wisconsin is still incomplete but growing as additional sampling occurs and new information becomes available.

**Monitoring in Wastewater Treatment Plants Wisconsin**

Current monitoring for PFAS in Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs) is incomplete and insufficient, partly due to the lack of enforceable environmental standards and the lack of adequate agency authority. Most of the monitoring that has occurred at WWTPs to date has either been done by the WDNR or done by WWTP operators on a voluntary basis.

Understanding the level of PFAS in influent, effluent, and biosolids at WWTPs is critical to developing regulations and water quality standards. This information would help provide an understanding of the potential routes for human exposures through the consumption of fish, wildlife, and drinking water.

**Treatment, Containment, and Remediation**

Effectively treating and destroying PFAS is an area of emerging research. Membrane bioreactors, granulated activated carbon, ion exchange resins, ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis, electrochemical oxidation, electrocoagulation, and concentrators are all methods being evaluated and some are in early stage use. None of these methods achieves complete remediation however as each method leaves residual PFAS in filters, membranes, and resins. Methods for destroying PFAS through incineration also result in incomplete remediation and leave behind residual PFAS.

All of the known remediation methods for PFAS also carry high costs and available funding is nowhere near adequate to address the known problem areas today. The U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) estimated that the cost to cleanup PFAS contamination at DOD installations alone would exceed $3 billion.¹⁷

**Wisconsin State Government: Policy and Agency Capacity**

PFAS were identified as a priority issue during multiple public hearings hosted by the 2019 Wisconsin State Assembly Water Quality Taskforce.¹⁸

Only one of the proposals introduced during the 2019-2020 legislative session was enacted into law. 2019 Wisconsin Act 101 limits the use and discharge (with limited exceptions) of Class B firefighting foams that contains intentionally added PFAS. A WDNR emergency rule to implement Act 101 was approved on December 9, 2020 by the Wisconsin Natural Resources Board. On December 18th, the Wisconsin Legislature’s Joint Committee on Review of Environmental Rules (JCRAR) voted to eliminate key provisions from the rule that addressed containment of PFAS contaminated material, rendering an important intent of the legislation ineffective.

In August 2019, Governor Evers issued Executive Order 40, which established a Wisconsin PFAS Coordinating Council, (since renamed the Wisconsin PFAS Action Council, or WisPAC). The Council was tasked with developing statewide standards for drinking water, ground water, and surface waters.

On December 16, 2020 WisPAC released a PFAS Action Plan that included a broad set of recommendations for environmental and health standards, pollution prevention, engagement and communication, research, bans and the phasing out of PFAS chemicals,
program funding, environmental justice issues, and addressing the historic legacy of PFAS discharges and exposures.

WDHS has recommended health standards of 20 ppt for PFOS and PFOA. WDNR is in the process of incorporating the proposed standards into drinking and groundwater standards. WDNR is also developing surface water quality standards for other PFAS chemicals. The agencies are seeking input from the public through stakeholder meetings.

All of the efforts to develop administrative rules and standards are processes that can take as much as three years, however delays caused by COVID-19 restrictions
on meetings may cause further delays. Given the urgency of human health aspects of PFAS, WDNR may use the emergency rule process to provide guidance for enacting drinking water standards sooner.

**Conclusion**

Although PFAS have been known in Wisconsin for at least 15 years, the issue is gaining increased attention as an important public health concern. In the absence of federal action, the Wisconsin environmental and public health community is beginning to understand the reach and scope of the problem.

In order to properly address PFAS in the environment and protect human health, Wisconsin must take a holistic, comprehensive, and science-based approach to managing these forever chemicals. This will require collaboration in the ways that PFAS are managed, working closely with all the players in the PFAS cycle from manufacturing to disposal, educating the public on limiting exposure, and using the best available science to guide decision-making and passing necessary legislation.
Contamination by PFAS is known to have disproportionate effects on low-income communities and communities of color. PFAS action should adopt a “no-harm” approach that avoids placing additional burden on these communities and giving priority to sampling, monitoring, and abating PFAS contamination in communities with known releases.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources will be the primary agency in regulating PFAS use and remediation. Wisconsin Department of Health Services (WDHS) will play a supporting role.

1. Identify sources of PFAS exposures and releases
   a. **Executive Action** Require that all public water supplies begin testing for multiple PFAS compounds no later than the 2021-2022 state fiscal year and the results of those tests should be publicly available.
   b. **Executive Action** Require statewide sampling of PFAS in the influent, effluent, and biosolids of municipal and industrial wastewater treatment facilities no later than the 2021-2022 state fiscal year.
   c. **Executive Action** Use the results of the sampling of public waste supply systems and wastewater treatment systems to identify PFAS clean systems and the systems with risk.
   d. **Executive Action** Collect, assemble and make available representative data from a subset of Wisconsin dischargers as part of the rule development process. This action will support the ongoing administrative rule processes for drinking water Ch NR 809 Wis. Admin. Code, groundwater Ch NR 140 Wis. Admin. Code, and surface waters/wastewater Ch NR 102 to NR 211.
   e. **Executive Action** Expand understanding of the prevalence of PFAS in the environment, through the collection of randomized data in watersheds and ecosystems in Wisconsin. The data can serve as the basis for development of environmental standards. Wisconsin can look to neighboring states such as Minnesota and Michigan to compare and evaluate standards.

2. Develop science-based statewide standards that are protective of human health and the environment
   a. **Executive Action** Establish science-based environmental standards for drinking water, groundwater, and surface waters for PFOA and PFOS. In a second phase, establish standards for other PFAS compounds.
   b. **Executive Action** Support expediting cleanup standards by using the emergency rule process for soil, groundwater, and drinking water standards. Establish science-based standards for biosolids application to cropped fields and other lands, for solid waste and soil, and sediment associated with remediation/cleanup projects.
   c. **Policy** Support additional research into PFAS in drinking water, surface water, wastewater, and groundwater across the state, as well as studies on health and environmental impacts. This includes sampling fish tissues and blood serum from wildlife near suspected sources.
3. Manage environmental PFAS contamination and devise cleanup procedures for PFAS-containing media
   
a. $ Build off WisPAC recommendations on disposal, and direct research dollars towards encouraging the development of innovative approaches to understand how PFAS can be safely destroyed.

b. $ Provide funding for PFAS remediation efforts for fire departments, municipalities, small businesses, and waste water treatment plants.

c. Amend the Cooperative Agreement between WDNR and the Department of Defense (DOD) to ensure a full evaluation of the fate and transport of PFAS associated with contaminated DOD sites across the state.

4. Control sources of PFAS and identify methods to reduce exposure to contamination
   
a. $ Incentivize voluntary and regulatory approaches to control PFAS at the manufacturing source by limiting or eliminating their distribution and use in the supply chain. Consider phasing out the use of short-chain and new generation PFAS from food packaging.

b. $ Develop legislation to encourage product stewardship and extended producer responsibility – closing the loop on management and end-of-life care of PFAS wastes. Producers, wholesalers, retailers, and users of PFAS products, need to share the costs of disposal and cleanup.

c. $ Fund a clean sweep program for collection and disposal of unused PFAS Class B firefighting foam.

5. Lead an educational campaign to inform the public on how they can act personally to reduce their exposure to PFAS
   
a. Support consumer groups and partner organizations in their ability to properly dispose of household materials containing PFAS.

b. Assist consumer groups and partner organizations in making informed decisions about the products they buy and whether PFAS may be found in those products.

c. Support efforts to create a PFAS single point of contact to coordinate communication both within the WDNR but also within state agencies to develop targeted and consistent messaging on PFAS. A designated person who can champion the issue is critical.

d. Coordinate state agency development of PFAS-related educational material for the classroom.

e. Encourage WisPAC to host a PFAS summit to provide a forum for sharing information and the latest research.
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